

**BILL BRADBURY**  
SECRETARY OF STATE



ELECTIONS DIVISION  
JOHN LINDBACK  
DIRECTOR  
141 STATE CAPITOL  
SALEM, OREGON 97310-0722  
ELECTIONS—(503) 986-1518

February 10, 2005

**To All Interested Parties:**

Secretary of State Bill Bradbury is responsible for the pre-election review of proposed initiative petitions for compliance with the procedural constitutional requirements established in the Oregon Constitution for initiative petitions. This review will be completed before approving the form of the cover and signature sheets for the purpose of circulating the proposed initiative petition to gather signatures.

The Secretary of State is seeking public input on whether proposed initiative petition (#26), satisfies the procedural constitutional requirements for circulation as a proposed initiative petition. Petition #26 was filed in our office on February 9, 2005, by Bill Sizemore, for the General Election of November 7, 2006.

On the reverse side of this letter is a copy of the text of this proposed initiative petition. If you are interested in providing comments on whether the proposed initiative petition meets the procedural constitutional requirements, please write to the secretary at the Elections Division in the State Capitol. Your comments, if any, must be received by the Elections Division no later than March 4, 2005, in order for them to be considered in the review.

**BILL BRADBURY**  
Secretary of State

BY:

Carla Corbin  
Compliance Specialist

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OREGON:

The Constitution of the State of Oregon is amended by adding the following section to the amended Article VII:

**Section 10. Judicial Legislating Prohibited.** The judiciary shall not exercise legislative powers granted to the Legislative Assembly or reserved to the people. Courts shall construe provisions in this Constitution and statutes enacted pursuant to it consistent with the intent of the framers. Oregon courts shall not depart from the text of a law in any proceeding without a specific finding, based on clear and convincing evidence in the historical record and legislative history, that the framers of the law intended the result that ensues from such departure.

RECEIVED

2005 FEB -9 AM 10:39

SECRETARY  
OF THE STATE